



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,716	(01/04/2001		Christian M. Hoebener	DAL0002.01	2096
27187	7590	08/20/2004	,		EXA	MINER
BAKER & 1		- -	LE, TAN			
205 W. JEFFERSON BOULEVARD SUITE 250 SOUTH BEND, IN 46601					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3632	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/754,716	HOEBENER ET AL.					
navicery near	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tan Le	3632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee as fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-8,10-15 and 21-26</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: 29 and 30.							
Claim(s) rejected: 27-28.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
Yle	LESL _I SUPERVISORY	E A. BRAUN PATENT EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 27-28 would be rejected for the reasons set forth in paragraph 2 of the final office aciton mailed 6/04/04.